

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	TE FIRST NAMED INVENT	OR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/826,498	04/04/200	1 Loralei Marie Branc	it J6497(C)	3031	
201	7590 03/	12/2002			
UNILEVER			EXAM	EXAMINER	
PATENT DEPARTMENT 45 RIVER ROAD EDGEWATER NU 07020			BERMAN	BERMAN, ALYSIA	
EDGEWATER, NJ 07020			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1617		
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/200	2	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/826,498 BRANDT ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit					
Office Action Summany					
Examiner Art Unit					
Alusia Darman					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	ress				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nmunication.				
Status 1) M. Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 December 2001					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 December 2001</u>. This action is FINAL. This action is non-final. 					
	morito io				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-17</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-17</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>14-17</u> is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b is objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.	•				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					

Application/Control Number: 09/826,498 Page 2

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed December 27, 2001. Claims 14 have been amended. Claims 14-17 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 14 contains the trademark/trade names Polymer 1163 and Polymer 1189. Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe polymers comprising vinyl or acrylic monomers and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.
- 5. Claim 17 is indefinite because it depends from a canceled claim. This rejection is maintained for reasons of record in paper no. 5. It is noted that Applicant asserts in the

Art Unit: 1617

amendment filed December 27, 2001 that claim 17 has been amended to overcome this rejection. However, no such amendment has been found.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by 4,151,269 (269).

This rejection is maintained for reasons of record in paper no. 5.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 14-17 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of copending Application No. 09/275,149. Although the conflicting claims are not identical,

Application/Control Number: 09/826,498 Page 4

Art Unit: 1617

they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the reasons of record in paper no. 5.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented. It is noted that Applicant has reserved the right to file a Terminal Disclaimer when allowable subject matter is indicated.

Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments filed December 27, 2001 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 9. Applicant argues that US '269 does not disclose Polymer 1163 or Polymer 1189 as instantly claimed. Because it is unclear what exactly encompassed by these tradenames, they are considered to encompass the polymers as originally claimed, i.e. vinyl-based and acrylic-based polymers. US '269 discloses polymers containing vinyl and acrylic monomers at column 4, lines 24-27. Therefore, absent evidence to the contrary, the film-forming polymers of US '269 encompass the instantly claimed polymers.
- 10. Applicant argues that US '269 does not disclose the particular weight ratios of components (a) to (b) instantly claimed. US '269 discloses about 0.1-2 wt.% of a thickener such as hydroxyethyl cellulose (b) and 0.1-2 wt.% of a film-forming agent such as vinyl and acrylic polymers (a). This provides a weight ratio of 1.0:0.05 to 1.0:20, which encompasses the instantly claimed ratio.

Art Unit: 1617

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alysia Berman whose telephone number is 703-308-4638. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 9:00 am and 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minna Moezie, can be reached on 703-308-4612. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 or 703-872-9307 for after-final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/826,498

Art Unit: 1617

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1234 or 703-308-1235.

March 8, 2002

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Page 6